Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/686,647	FRIEDMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maria B Marvich, PhD	1636
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Ex Parte Quayle</u>		
(1) Maria B Marvich, PhD:\m\M	(3) Gerry Leffers.	
(2) <u>Christine Dietzel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Double Patenting Rejection over US 6,001,968		
Claims discussed: 59-65		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Filing of a terminal disclaimer over US 6,001,968 would put the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	And the second of the second o	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		